



## **BUSHFIRE ASSESSMENT REPORT**

# REFURBISHMENT OF POOLSIDE TERRACE THREDBO ALPINE RESORT



NOVEMBER 2018 Project: 49-18

## **Dabyne Planning Pty Ltd**

Reproduction of the document or any part thereof is not permitted without prior written permission

## **CONTENTS**

## Glossary

1	Introduction				
	1.1	Purpose	3		
	1.2	·	3		
	1.3	Bushfire Prone Land	7		
2	Legislation				
	2.1	NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and Rural Fires Act 1997	8		
	2.2	Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006	8		
	L L	ridining for Edonin's Froboundin 2000	J		
3	Methodology				
	3.1	Site Inspection	9		
	3.2 3.3	Vegetation Communities Slope	9 9		
	ت,ت	Slope	J		
4	Veg	etation Classification and Slope Assessment	10		
	4.1	Vegetation Classification	10		
	4.2	Slope Assessment	11		
5	Significant Environmental Features				
6	Bus	hfire Assessment	13		
<del>-</del> ,	C	aluaian	10		
7	Lon	clusion	16		

## **GLOSSARY**

APZ Asset Protection Zone

AS 3959-2009 Australian Standard 3959-2009: Construction of buildings in bushfire

prone areas

BCA Building Code of Australia

**BFSA** Bush Fire Safety Authority

**CC** Construction Certificate

**DA** Development Application

**EP&A Act** Environmental Planning Assessment Act, 1979

IPA Inner Protection Area

**KNP** Kosciuszko National Park

kW/m² kilowatts per square metre (being a measure of radiant heat)

PBP Planning for Bushfire Protection

**RF Act** Rural Fires Act 1997

RFS NSW Rural Fire Service

**SFPP** Special Fire Protection Purpose

## INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Purpose

Dabyne Planning Pty Ltd has been engaged to undertake a Bushfire Assessment Report to accompany a Development Application for undertaking refurbishment works to the Poolside Terrace, located on the same site, but not connected directly to the hotel and accommodation part of the Thredbo Alpine Hotel. The property is legally described as Lot 861 DP 1128686.

## 1.2 Site Description & Proposal

The Thredbo Alpine Hotel is a complex that incorporates hotel accommodation with a separate restaurant, bars, bistro and conference facilities, which are all open to the public.

The poolside terrace is open to the public and not exclusively for hotel guests, meaning that is separately and independently used and is not a subordinate use to the hotel.

The proposal seeks consent to undertake refurbishments to the outdoor terrace by way of covering the existing pool and paving over, removal of existing hot tub and installation of two hot tubs, upgrading lighting, new fixed furniture and associated works.

The subject site is illustrated in context with the locality in figures 1 & 2 below:



Figure 1: Aerial view of the subject site in context of the locality



Figure: 2 Aerial view of the subject site

The following photos identify the existing site and location of the proposed works:



Figure 3: Photo of the existing pool to be covered and paved



Figure 4: Photo of the poolside terrace



Figure 5: Photo of the pool to be covered and hot tub on elevated paved area to be replaced



Figure 6: Photo of the poolside terrace



Figure 7: Photo of the pool to be covered

## 1.3 Bushfire Prone Land

The subject site is located within the buffer area to bushfire prone land located to the west as extracted from the NSW Department of Planning & Environment Planning Portal website as shown in figure 8 below.



Figure 8: Bushfire Prone Land map

## 2. LEGISLATION

## 2.1 NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and Rural Fires Act 1997

As identified above, the subject site is located within a designated bushfire-prone area.

The development is not for the purposes of a 'Special Fire Protection Purpose' as it comprises of refurbishment works to the poolside terrace which is a licensed premises and does not provide overnight accommodation.

Pursuant to section 100B(5)(a1) of the NSW Rural Fires Act, 1997 and empowered by clause 45 of the NSW Rural Fires Regulations, 2013, the development is excluded from the operation of section 100B as the development is for the purposes of a 'licensed premises that does not provide overnight accommodation'.

This exclusion was specifically incorporated to apply to hotels where development occurs with regards to licenced premises and those areas are not associated with overnight accommodation.

## 2.2 Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006

The NSW Rural Fire Service 'Planning for Bushfire Protection, 2006: A Guide for Councils, Planners, Fire Authorities and Developers' has been considered in regards to the proposed development including the recently adopted Appendix 3 Addendum.

The subject site is located within Thredbo Alpine Resort, which is located within the NSW Alpine Resorts as discussed on page 31 of PBP.

Under PBP, a 1:50 fire weather scenario has been determined for the Alpine Resorts, being FDI 50.

#### 3. METHODOLOGY

#### 3.1 Site Inspection

A site inspection was undertaken by Dabyne Planning Pty Ltd in November 2018, to determine the potential bushfire risks associated with the site. The guidelines for bushfire risk assessment as set out in PBP were used to determine these potential bushfire risks.

#### 3.2 **Vegetation Communities**

The vegetation and plant communities within 140m of the site were determined by undertaking a site inspection and consulting PBP and the vegetation types identified in 'Ocean Shores to Desert Dunes', by Kieth (2004).

The classification under David Keith's 'Ocean Shores to Desert Dunes' (used in PBP) were then converted to the 'Sprect' classifications using Table A3.5.1 in the Appendix 3 Addendum.

#### 3.3 Slope

The slope assessment has been based on the topographical contour lines sourced from the Department of Lands mapping and on-site assessment.

Slope over a distance of at least 100m from the building footprint on the development site towards the vegetation communities that constitute the predominant hazard has been considered.

The gradient that will most significantly influence the fire behaviour will be used for the bush fire attack assessment.

#### **VEGETATION CLASSIFICATION & SLOPE ASSESSMENT** 4.

#### 4.1 **Vegetation Classification**

The predominant vegetation formation in all directions around the resort and within the wider locality is Sub-alpine Woodland, which is classified under Keith, 2004 as Grassy woodlands (Woodlands) formation.

The AUSLIG (1990) Pictorial Analysis confirms that the vegetation on site is Woodlands as also converted from Keith below:

David Keith's Ocean Shores to Desert Dunes	AUSLIG (1990) Pictorial Analysis (AS3959-2009)			
Forests (Wet & Dry Sclerophyll)				
Pine Plantations	Forest			
Forested Wetlands				
Woodlands (Grassy, Semi-Arid)	Woodland			
Tall Heath (Scrub)	2			
Freshwater Wetlands	Scrub			
Short Heath (Open Scrub)	Shrubland			
Arid Shrubland	Mallee/Mulga			
Alpine Complex (Sedgelands)	Tussock Moorland			
Rainforest	Rainforest			
Grassland	Grassland			

The vegetation to the west as illustrated in figure 9 below is considered to have the most influence in the event of a bushfire, due to the topography, wind direction and existing built environment around the village. This is supported by the bushfire prone land mapping (see figure 8 above) which confirms that the closest bushfire prone vegetation is located to the west.



Figure 9: Aerial view demonstrating the location of the vegetation that would have the most influence in the event of a bushfire

The vegetation to the west is located adjacent to Thredbo River, located upslope and over 100m from the location of the proposed works as illustrated in figure 10 below.



Figure 10: Aerial view demonstrating the distance to other vegetation that would have the most influence in the event of a bushfire

Located within this setback are existing buildings and individual Eucalypt trees with scattered ground cover (Sub-alpine Woodland) however they do not form a continuous canopy.

Furthermore, the vegetation associated with the Thredbo River, to the north of the subject site is managed as an Asset Protection Zone as part of the Thredbo Bushfire Preparation Map, endorsed by the NSW RFS.

#### 4.2 Slope Assessment

The effective slope, being the slope that will have the greatest influence on the bushfire behaviour (where the vegetation is located as depicted in figure 10 above) is upslope.

### SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL FEATURES 5.

The proposed works are located within a hard stand area and therefore an assessment in respect to threatened species, populations, endangered ecological communities or critical habitat is not required to be undertaken. Furthermore an assessment of Aboriginal heritage is also not warranted.

#### **BUSHFIRE ASSESSMENT** 6.

An assessment of the proposal in accordance with the performance criteria and acceptable solutions contained within section 4.3.5 of PBP have been provided below.

Performance Criteria	Acceptable Solutions	Can Comply?	Comments
The intent may be achieved w	here:		
in relation to Asset	APZ determined in	✓	See discussion below.
Protection Zones:  • a defendable space is provided onsite.	accordance with Appendix 2.		
<ul> <li>an asset protection zone is provided and maintained for the life of the development.</li> </ul>			
in relation to siting and design:  • buildings are sited and designed to minimise the risk of bush fire attack.	<ul> <li>buildings are designed and sited in accordance with the siting and design principles in this section (see also figure 4.7).</li> </ul>	<b>~</b>	The proposed works will not alter the siting or overall design of the building.
in relation to construction standards: • it is demonstrated that the proposed building can withstand bush fire attack in the form of wind, smoke, embers, radiant heat and flame contact.	construction     determined in     accordance with     Appendix 3 and the     Requirements for     attached garages and     others structures in this     section.     Note: provisions in relation     to Class 10a buildings may     also apply.	<b>√</b>	The proposed works are not required to be constructed in accordance with any BAL construction under AS3959-2009.
in relation to access requirements: • safe, operational access is provided (and maintained) for emergency services personnel in suppressing a bush fire while residents are seeking to relocate, in advance of a bush fire, [satisfying the intent and performance criteria for access roads in sections	compliance with section     4.1.3 for property     access roads.     compliance with section     4.2.7 for access     standards for internal     roads.	<b>✓</b>	The existing access comprises of a sealed, two-way all-weather road that is easily accessible for two-wheel drive vehicles.

in relation to water and	compliance with section	✓	Reticulated water supply with
utility services:	4.1.3 for services -		fire hydrants are provided
adequate water and	water, electricity and		throughout Thredbo Village.
electricity services are	gas.		
provided for firefighting			Electricity and gas supply is
operations			provided underground
• gas and electricity services			throughout Thredbo Village.
are located so as not to			
contribute to the risk of fire to			
a building.			
in relation to landscaping:	• compliance with	✓	The proposed works will not
• it is designed and managed	Appendix 5.		affect the existing
to minimise flame contact and			landscaping on the site.
radiant heat to buildings, and			
the potential for wind driven			
embers to cause ignitions.			

## **Asset Protection Zones (APZs)**

An Asset Protection Zone (APZ) is to be provided in accordance with the relevant tables provided in Appendix 2 of PBP.

The minimum specifications for APZs for Special Fire Protection Purposes in bushfire prone areas are set out in Table A2.6 in Appendix 2 of PBP. The table specifies that the Alpine Resorts does not contain any minimum specifications and refers to Table A3.5. As Appendix 3 within PBP has been replaced by the new Appendix 3 (2010 Addendum) the new Appendix 3 refers to Table A2.4.4 in AS3959-2009. This is provided below:

	ON OF DOM	IFIRE ATTAC	K LLVLL (DA	1111 30	(1030 K)	
	Bushfire Attack Levels (BALs)					
Vegetation	BAL-FZ	BA140	BA L29	BAL19	BAL12.5	
classification	Distance (m) of the site from the predominant vegetation class					
	All upslopes and flat land (0 degrees)					
A. Forest	<12	12-<16	16-<23	23-<32	32-<100	
B. Woodland	<7	7-<10	10-<15	15~22	22-100	
C. Shrubland	<7	7-<9	9-<13	13~<19	19~<100	
D. Scrub	<10	10~<13	13-<19	19~<27	27~<100	
E. Mallee/Mulga	≤6	6-<8	8-<12	12-<17	17-<100	
F. Rainforest	<5	5-<6	6-<9	9-<14	14-<100	
G. Tussock Moorland	<7	7<9	9~<14	14-<20	20~<100	
		Downs	lope >0 to 5 degr	ees		
A. Forest	<14	14-<19	19-<27	27-<38	38-<100	
B. Woodland	<9	9-<12	12-<18	18-<26	26-<100	
C. Shrubland	<7	7-<10	10-<15	15<22	22-<100	
D. Scrub	<11	11-<15	15-<22	22-<31	31-<100	
E. Mallee/Mulga	<7	7~<9	9-<13	13<20	20-<100	
F. Rainforest	<6	6-<8	8-<12	12-<17	17-<100	
G. Tussock Moorland	< 8	8-<10	10-<16	16-≪23	23-<100	
	Downslope >5 to 10 degrees					
A. Forest	<18	18-<24	24~<34	34~<46	46-<100	
B. Woodland	<11	11-<15	15<23	23~<32	32-<100	
C. Shrubland	<8	8-<11	11-<17	17-<25	25~<100	
D. Scrub	<12	12-<17	17~<24	24-<35	35-<100	
E. Mallee/Mulga	<7	7-<10	10<15	15-<23	23-<100	
F. Rainforest	<7	7-<10	10-<15	15-<22	22-<100	
G. Tussock Moorland	<9	9-<12	12<18	18-<26	26-<100	
		Downste	pe >10 to 15 deg	rees		
A. Forest	<22	22-<30	30<41	41-<56	56-<100	
B. Woodland	<14	14~<19	19-<28	28-<40	40<100	
C. Shrubland	<9	9-<13	13-<19	19-<28	28-<100	
D. Scrub	<14	14-<19	19-<28	28-<39	39-<100	
E. Mallee/Mulga	<8	8-<11	11~<18	18-<26	26~<100	
F. Rainforest	<1)	9-<13	13-<19	19~<28	28-<100	
G. Tussock Moorland	<10	10~<13	13-<20	20-<29	29-<100	
	Downslope >15 to 20 degrees					
A. Forest	<28	28-<37	37-<51	51-<67	67<100	
B. Woodland	<18	18-<25	25-<36	36-<48	48-<100	
C. Shrubland	<10	10-<15	15-<22	22-<31	31-<100	
D. Scrub	<15	15-<21	21-<31	31-<43	43~<100	
E. Mallee/Mulga	<9	9-<13	13-<20	20-<29	29-<100	
F. Rainforest	<12	12-<17	17-<25	25-<35	35-<100	
G. Tussock Moorland	<11	11-<15	15~<23	23-<33	33~<100	

Based on the slope, distance of the site to the predominant vegetation class, being >100m to Woodlands (with a continuous canopy), located upslope; the category of Bushfire Attack in accordance with Table A2.4.4 in AS3959-2009 is 'BAL-LOW'.

## 7. CONCLUSION

As identified above, the proposed development is not for the purposes of a Special Fire Protection Purpose and is exempt from requiring a Bushfire Safety Authority.

Notwithstanding this, the development can achieve compliance with all of the performance criteria standards set out in PBP for 'infill' development located within the Alpine Resorts.

With the proposed roof located over 100m from the closest unmanaged vegetation (as per the Thredbo Bushfire Preparation Map), the required construction level is BAL-LOW, therefore not requiring any construction level in accordance with AS 3959.

Disclaimer: Any representation, statement, opinion, or advice expressed or implied in this publication is made in good faith on the basis that Dabyne Planning Pty Ltd and its employees are not liable (whether by reason of negligence, lack of care or otherwise] to any person for any damage or loss whatsoever which has occurred or may occur on relation to that person taking or not taking (as the case may be) action in respect of any representation, statement or advice referred to above.

Dabyne Planning Pty Ltd, its agents or employees, expressly disclaim any liability for representations, expressed or implied, contained in, or omissions from, this report or any of the written or oral communications transmitted to the client or any third party. Acceptance of this document denotes the acceptance of the terms.